

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/075,059	02/11/2002	Chen Chun Chen	DF.DEL003A	DF.DEL003A 7480		
27299	7590 04/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	SKI & ASSOCIATES	GUSHI,	GUSHI, ROSS N			
	T BERNARDO COURT, SU D. CA 92127	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2833			
			DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/075,059		CHEN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	·	Ross N. Gu	shi	2833	AN				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				ss				
Period fo	• •								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no even within the statute will apply and will cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)□		— is action is n	on-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under	•			nerits is				
·	on of Claims	_4:							
•	☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 8 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	uirement.						
•	on Papers		1						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.							
10)🛛 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 February 2002</u> is/are	: а)⊠ ассер	ted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
<i>,</i> —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of t	reau (PCT R	tule 17.2(a)).		ıge				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(€	e) (to a provisional ap	plication).				
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti								
Attachmen	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1					

Application/Control Number: 10/075,059

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Upon reconsideration, claims 1, 2, 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Schantz and Seidler. The admitted prior art discloses the device as claimed in claims 1 and 8, except that the admitted prior art does not include the free end of the second strip having a notch and projecting plate for fastening the bare wire. Schantz discloses a terminal 10 including a notch and projecting plate 16 which are used to fasten bare wire 26 to the terminal. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to replace the hole 4331 in the second strip with a notch an projecting plate as taught in Schantz. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to better secure the wire to the terminal prior to soldering so that the wire does not inadvertently detach, as taught in Schantz (see col. 1, lines 15-50 and col. 2, lines 45-60). Schantz teaches that the tab can be located "in the terminal 10 at the location where it is desired to solder the end of the conductor" (col. 2, lines 20-25) but does not explicitly teach that the notch be made in the free end of the terminal strip. Seidler teaches terminal strip 16 including a notch and plate 36 configuration for holding conductive mass 28 where the notch and plate are located at the free end of the strip. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/075,059 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

locate the notch in the admitted prior art at the free end of the strip as taught in Seidler.

The exact location of the notch would have been a matter of design engineering choice, given that Schantz notes that the tab may be located at any location where it is desired to solder the end of the conductor.

Regarding the limitation that the width of the plate be slightly less than the width of the notch, it is inherent that the width of the plate would have been slightly less than the width of the notch or aperture.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Schantz does not identify the elevation angle of the plate. However, Schantz does teach that the tabs may be pressed down over the top of the conductor (col. 2, lines 45-46) and that pressing may not be necessary "if the offset of tab 16 is correct for reasonably snug insertion." Col. 2, lines 58-60. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to choose an appropriate angle as desired, including angles between 20-50 degrees or 30-45 degrees. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to accommodate a conductor of a desirable size, as taught in Schantz.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2005. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached at 571-272-2800 extension 33. The phone number for the Group's facsimile is (703) 872-9306.

ROSS GUSHI PRIMARY EXAMINED